

Integral p -adic cohomology theories for open and singular varieties

joint with A. Shiho (Tokyo) and J. Sprang (Duisburg-Essen)

Advances in Mixed Characteristic Commutative Algebra &
Geometric Connections,
Oaxaca May 2022

Dr. Veronika ERTL-BLEIMHOFER



Integral p -adic cohomology theories

The search for a good integral p -adic cohomology

Construction under resolution of singularities

- Some useful notions

- Hypothesis that we need

- Topologies on Var_k and Sm_k

- Improving the topology on Sm_k

- Construction for smooth open varieties

- Extension to k -varieties

What can we do without resolution of singularities?

- Alterations instead of resolutions

- Low cohomological degrees

- Counterexamples for higher cohomological degrees

The search for a good integral p -adic cohomology

The search for a good integral p -adic cohomology

- ▶ Weil conjectures as a starting point
 - ▶ concerns the Zeta function of a variety in positive characteristic p
 - ▶ notion of Weil cohomology
 - ▶ accomplished using ℓ -adic cohomology ($\ell \neq p$)
 - ▶ desire to fill the gap for $\ell = p$

The search for a good integral p -adic cohomology

- ▶ Weil conjectures as a starting point
 - ▶ concerns the Zeta function of a variety in positive characteristic p
 - ▶ notion of Weil cohomology
 - ▶ accomplished using ℓ -adic cohomology ($\ell \neq p$)
 - ▶ desire to fill the gap for $\ell = p$
- ▶ For all of this talk:
 - ▶ k – perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$
 - ▶ $W(k)$ – ring of Witt vectors of k
 - ▶ $K = \text{Frac}(W(k))$ – fraction field of $W(k)$

The search for a good integral p -adic cohomology

- ▶ Weil conjectures as a starting point
 - ▶ concerns the Zeta function of a variety in positive characteristic p
 - ▶ notion of Weil cohomology
 - ▶ accomplished using ℓ -adic cohomology ($\ell \neq p$)
 - ▶ desire to fill the gap for $\ell = p$
- ▶ For all of this talk:
 - ▶ k – perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$
 - ▶ $W(k)$ – ring of Witt vectors of k
 - ▶ $K = \text{Frac}(W(k))$ – fraction field of $W(k)$
- ▶ Candidates:
 - ▶ $H_{\text{cris}}^*(X/W(k))$, Grothendieck/Berthelot
Finitely generated over $W(k)$ **only for proper smooth $X/k!$**
 - ▶ $H_{\text{rig}}^*(X/K)$, Monsky–Washnitzer (local), Berthelot (global)
Is finitely generated over K , but has **rational coefficients!**
 - ▶ $H^*(X, W^\dagger\Omega^\bullet)$, Davis–Langer–Zink
Compares rationally to rigid cohomology, but **not finitely generated over $W(k)$** even modulo torsion! (Counterexample: E–Shiho)

Question

Under which conditions can we expect a “good” integral p -adic cohomology theory for open / singular varieties?

Question

Under which conditions can we expect a “good” integral p -adic cohomology theory for open / singular varieties?

Good means ...

- ▶ The cohomology groups $H_{\text{good}}^*(X)$ are **finitely generate $W(k)$ -modules** for all $X \in \text{Var}_k$.
- ▶ There is a **comparison isomorphism with (log) crystalline cohomology** for $X \in \text{Var}_k$ (log) smooth proper.
- ▶ There is a **rational comparison isomorphism with rigid cohomology** for all $X \in \text{Var}_k$.

Some useful notions

- ▶ **Geometric pair** (X, \bar{X}) : open immersion $X \hookrightarrow \bar{X}$ in Var_k with dense image, \bar{X} proper – $\text{Var}_k^{\text{geo}}$
- ▶ **Normal crossing pair** (X, \bar{X}) : geometric pair, such that $\bar{X} \setminus X$ is a simple normal crossing divisor – Var_k^{nc}
- ▶ **Morphisms of geometric pairs** $f : (X_1, \bar{X}_1) \rightarrow (X_2, \bar{X}_2)$: a morphism $f : \bar{X}_1 \rightarrow \bar{X}_2$ in Var_k such that $f(X_1) \subset X_2$
 - ▶ **strict**: if $f^{-1}(X_2) = X_1$
 - ▶ **has property P**: if $f : \bar{X}_1 \rightarrow \bar{X}_2$ has property P

Some useful notions

- ▶ **Geometric pair** (X, \bar{X}) : open immersion $X \hookrightarrow \bar{X}$ in Var_k with dense image, \bar{X} proper – $\text{Var}_k^{\text{geo}}$
- ▶ **Normal crossing pair** (X, \bar{X}) : geometric pair, such that $\bar{X} \setminus X$ is a simple normal crossing divisor – Var_k^{nc}
- ▶ **Morphisms of geometric pairs** $f : (X_1, \bar{X}_1) \rightarrow (X_2, \bar{X}_2)$: a morphism $f : \bar{X}_1 \rightarrow \bar{X}_2$ in Var_k such that $f(X_1) \subset X_2$
 - ▶ **strict**: if $f^{-1}(X_2) = X_1$
 - ▶ **has property P**: if $f : \bar{X}_1 \rightarrow \bar{X}_2$ has property P

Conceptually ...

... normal crossing pairs are to geometric pairs, what smooth varieties are to varieties.

Hypothesis that we need

Hypothesis that we need

Strong resolutions of singularities (SR)

- ▶ For all $X \in \text{Var}_k$ there exists a proper birational morphism $f : X' \rightarrow X$, such that X' is smooth, and f is an iso on X_{sm} .
- ▶ For all proper birational morphisms $f : X' \rightarrow X$ in Sm_k there is a sequence of blow-ups along smooth centres

$$X_n \rightarrow X_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X$$

that factors through f .

Hypothesis that we need

Strong resolutions of singularities (SR)

- ▶ For all $X \in \text{Var}_k$ there exists a proper birational morphism $f : X' \rightarrow X$, such that X' is smooth, and f is an iso on X_{sm} .
- ▶ For all proper birational morphisms $f : X' \rightarrow X$ in Sm_k there is a sequence of blow-ups along smooth centres

$$X_n \rightarrow X_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X$$

that factors through f .

Embedded resolutions of singularities (EB)

For all $(X, \bar{X}) \in \text{Var}_k^{geo}$ with \bar{X} smooth, there is a proper birational morphism $f : (X, \bar{X}') \rightarrow (X, \bar{X})$ such that $(X, \bar{X}') \in \text{Var}_k^{nc}$.

Weak factorisation (WF)

For every strict proper birational morphism $(X, \bar{X}') \rightarrow (X, \bar{X})$ in Var_k^{nc} which is an iso on X , there exists a weak factorisation.

- ▶ A weak factorisation of a proper birational morphism $f : (X_1, \bar{X}_1) \rightarrow (X_2, \bar{X}_2)$ which is an iso on X_2 is a sequence

$$(X_1, \bar{X}_1) = (V_0, \bar{V}_0) \xrightarrow{f_1} \dots \xrightarrow{f_\ell} (V_\ell, \bar{V}_\ell) = (X_2, \bar{X}_2)$$

where f_i is rational, $f_\ell \circ \dots \circ f_1 = f$, each composition $f_i \circ \dots \circ f_1$ is a morphism and induced an iso on X_2 , and for each i either f_i or f_i^{-1} is a blow-up along a smooth center Z_i disjoint from X_2 which has normal crossing with $\bar{V}_i \setminus V_i$ (or $\bar{V}_{i-1} \setminus V_{i-1}$).

Embedded resolutions with boundaries (ERB)

For all strict closed immersions of geometric pairs $(Y, \overline{Y}) \rightarrow (X, \overline{X})$ such that Y is smooth and $(X, \overline{X}) \in \text{Var}_k^{nc}$, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (Y, \overline{Y}') & \hookrightarrow & (X, \overline{X}') \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (Y, \overline{Y}) & \hookrightarrow & (X, \overline{X}) \end{array}$$

where the horizontal maps are strict closed immersions and the vertical maps are proper birational morphisms and isos on Y, X .

Topologies on Var_k and Sm_k

In this part, we only use property (SR).

- ▶ To make things smooth, we want to consider the topology “generated by blow-ups”.

Topologies on Var_k and Sm_k

In this part, we only use property (SR).

- ▶ To make things smooth, we want to consider the topology “generated by blow-ups”.

The *cdp*-topology on $\text{Var}_k \dots$

\dots is the topology generated by completely decomposed proper morphisms $p : Y \rightarrow X$.

(Completely decomposed means that for every $x \in X$ there is $y \in p^{-1}(x) \subset Y$, such that for the residue fields $\kappa(x) \xrightarrow{\sim} \kappa(y)$.)

Lemma (Suslin–Voevodsky)

*The *cdp*-topology on Var_k is generated by blow-ups.*

Topologies on Var_k and Sm_k

In this part, we only use property (SR).

- ▶ To make things smooth, we want to consider the topology “generated by blow-ups”.

The *cdp*-topology on $\text{Var}_k \dots$

\dots is the topology generated by completely decomposed proper morphisms $p : Y \rightarrow X$.

(Completely decomposed means that for every $x \in X$ there is $y \in p^{-1}(x) \subset Y$, such that for the residue fields $\kappa(x) \xrightarrow{\sim} \kappa(y)$.)

Lemma (Suslin–Voevodsky)

The cdp-topology on Var_k is generated by blow-ups.

The *rh*-topology on $\text{Var}_k \dots$

\dots is the topology generated by *cdp*-morphisms and Zariski morphisms.

One can restrict these topologies to Sm_k

Proposition (E–Shiho–Sprang)

Let τ be any topology finer than the *cdp*-topology. The inclusion $\text{Sm}_k \hookrightarrow \text{Var}_k$ induces an equivalence of topoi

$$\text{Sh}(\text{Sm}_{k,\tau}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Sh}(\text{Var}_{k,\tau}).$$

Proof.

- ▶ $\text{Sm}_k \hookrightarrow \text{Var}_k$ is fully faithful.
- ▶ By Verdier's result it suffices to show that every k -variety has a *cdp*-cover by smooth k -varieties.
- ▶ This follows with **(SR)**. □

One can restrict these topologies to Sm_k

Proposition (E–Shiho–Sprang)

Let τ be any topology finer than the *cdp*-topology. The inclusion $\text{Sm}_k \hookrightarrow \text{Var}_k$ induces an equivalence of topoi

$$\text{Sh}(\text{Sm}_{k,\tau}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Sh}(\text{Var}_{k,\tau}).$$

Proof.

- ▶ $\text{Sm}_k \hookrightarrow \text{Var}_k$ is fully faithful.
- ▶ By Verdier's result it suffices to show that every k -variety has a *cdp*-cover by smooth k -varieties.
- ▶ This follows with **(SR)**. □

Lemma

Under **(SR)**, the *cdp*-topology on Sm_k is generated by smooth blow-ups.

Improving the topology on Sm_k

In this part, we need (SR), (ER), (ERB).

- ▶ We want to embed the objects $X \in \text{Sm}_k$ into objects $(X, \overline{X}) \in \text{Var}_k^{nc}$.
- ▶ We want to do something similar with *cdp*-morphisms and Zariski morphisms.

Improving the topology on Sm_k

In this part, we need (SR), (ER), (ERB).

- ▶ We want to embed the objects $X \in \text{Sm}_k$ into objects $(X, \overline{X}) \in \text{Var}_k^{nc}$.
- ▶ We want to do something similar with *cdp*-morphisms and Zariski morphisms.

Lemma (E–Shiho–Sprang)

Under (SR), (ER), every $X \in \text{Sm}_k$ has an snc-compactification \overline{X} , i.e. $(X, \overline{X}) \in \text{Var}_k^{nc}$.

For fixed X , the category of snc-compactifications is filtered, denoted by $\{(X, \overline{X})/X\}$.

A good smooth blow-up in $\text{Sm}_k \dots$

\dots is a smooth blow-up square which embeds into nc-pairs

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z' \hookrightarrow & X' & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ Z \hookrightarrow & X & \\ & \xrightarrow{e} & \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (Z', \overline{Z}') \hookrightarrow & (X', \overline{X}') & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ (Z, \overline{Z}) \hookrightarrow & (X, \overline{X}) & \\ & \xrightarrow{e} & \end{array}$$

such that all morphisms are strict and p is a blow-up with centre \overline{Z} .

A good smooth blow-up in $\text{Sm}_k \dots$

\dots is a smooth blow-up square which embeds into nc-pairs

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z' \hookrightarrow & X' & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ Z \hookrightarrow & X & \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (Z', \bar{Z}') \hookrightarrow & (X', \bar{X}') & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p' \\ (Z, \bar{Z}) \hookrightarrow & (X, \bar{X}) & \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \end{array}$$

such that all morphisms are strict and p is a blow-up with centre \bar{Z} .

Proposition (E–Shiho–Sprang)

Assume **(SR)**, **(ER)**, **(ERB)**.

Every smooth blow-up $Z' \hookrightarrow X'$ is good.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z' \hookrightarrow & X' & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Z \hookrightarrow & X & \end{array}$$

Proof.

- ▶ Take an snc-compactification (X, \bar{X}_1) . Let \bar{Z}_1 be the closure of Z in \bar{X}_1 .
- ▶ By **(ERB)** there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (Z, \bar{Z}) & \hookrightarrow & (X, \bar{X}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (Z, \bar{Z}_1) & \hookrightarrow & (X, \bar{X}_1) \end{array}$$

such that $(Z, \bar{Z}) \hookrightarrow (X, \bar{X})$ is a strict closed immersion of nc-pairs and the vertical morphisms are strict proper birational.

- ▶ By setting $\bar{X}' := \text{Bl}_{\bar{Z}}(\bar{X})$ one obtains the desired diagram.



A good smooth Zariski square in $\text{Sm}_k \dots$

\dots is a smooth Zariski square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y \subset & \longrightarrow & V \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow i \\ U \subset & \xrightarrow{j} & X = i(U) \cup j(V) \end{array}$$

which embeds into one of the following

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (Y, \bar{X}) \subset & \longrightarrow & (V, \bar{X}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (U, \bar{X}) \subset & \longrightarrow & (X, \bar{X}) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \emptyset & \longrightarrow & \emptyset \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (X, \bar{X}) & \longrightarrow & (X, \bar{X}) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \emptyset & \longrightarrow & (X, \bar{X}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \emptyset & \longrightarrow & (X, \bar{X}) \end{array}$$

For Zariski squares, we only have an embedding result *cdp*-locally (but this is good enough).

Proposition (E–Shiho–Sprang)

Assume **(SR)**, **(ER)**. Given a Zariski square in Var_k

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y \hookrightarrow & & V \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow i \\ U \hookrightarrow & \xrightarrow{j} & X \end{array}$$

there exists a *cdp*-hypercovering $X_\bullet \rightarrow X$, along which the pull-back

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y_\bullet \hookrightarrow & & V_\bullet \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow i \\ U_\bullet \hookrightarrow & \xrightarrow{j} & X_\bullet \end{array}$$

is a good simplicial Zariski square.

Construction for smooth open varieties

In this part, we need (SR), (ER), (ERB), (WF).

- ▶ We will use log structures:
 - ▶ $k := (\mathrm{Spec}(k), \mathrm{triv})$, $W_n(k) := (\mathrm{Spec}(W_n(k)), \mathrm{triv})$,
 $W(k) := (\mathrm{Spf}(W(k)), \mathrm{triv})$
 - ▶ We consider $(X, \bar{X}) \in \mathrm{Var}_k^{\mathrm{nc}/\mathrm{geo}}$ as a log scheme \bar{X} with log structure induced by $\bar{X} \setminus X$.

Construction for smooth open varieties

In this part, we need (SR), (ER), (ERB), (WF).

- ▶ We will use log structures:
 - ▶ $k := (\mathrm{Spec}(k), \mathrm{triv})$, $W_n(k) := (\mathrm{Spec}(W_n(k)), \mathrm{triv})$,
 $W(k) := (\mathrm{Spf}(W(k)), \mathrm{triv})$
 - ▶ We consider $(X, \bar{X}) \in \mathrm{Var}_k^{\mathrm{nc}/\mathrm{geo}}$ as a log scheme \bar{X} with log structure induced by $\bar{X} \setminus X$.
- ▶ For $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_k$: $W_n\Omega_{X/k}^\bullet$, $W\Omega_{X/k}^\bullet$,
which computes crystalline cohomology
 $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{cris}}(X/W_n(k)) = R\Gamma(X, W_n\Omega_{X/k}^\bullet)$.
- ▶ For $(X, \bar{X}) \in \mathrm{Var}_k^{\mathrm{nc}}$: $W_n\omega_{(X, \bar{X})/k}^\bullet = W_n\Omega^\bullet(\bar{X} \setminus X)_{\bar{X}/k}$,
which computes log-crystalline cohomology
 $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{cris}}((X, \bar{X})/W_n(k)) = R\Gamma(\bar{X}, W_n\omega_{(X, \bar{X})/k}^\bullet)$.

For $(X, \bar{X}) \in \text{Var}_k^{nc}$ let $A_n^\bullet(X, \bar{X})$ be an explicit complex functorial in (X, \bar{X}) representing $R\Gamma(\bar{X}, W_n \omega_{(X, \bar{X}/k)}^\bullet)$.

Proposition (E–Shiho–Sprang)

Assume **(SR)**, **(ER)**, **(WF)**. For fixed $X \in \text{Sm}_k$ and varying $(X, \bar{X}) \in \text{Var}_k^{nc}$ all $A_n^\bullet(X, \bar{X})$ are quasi-isomorphic.

For $(X, \bar{X}) \in \text{Var}_k^{nc}$ let $A_n^\bullet(X, \bar{X})$ be an explicit complex functorial in (X, \bar{X}) representing $R\Gamma(\bar{X}, W_n\omega_{(X, \bar{X}/k)}^\bullet)$.

Proposition (E–Shiho–Sprang)

Assume **(SR)**, **(ER)**, **(WF)**. For fixed $X \in \text{Sm}_k$ and varying $(X, \bar{X}) \in \text{Var}_k^{nc}$ all $A_n^\bullet(X, \bar{X})$ are quasi-isomorphic.

Proof.

- ▶ We know that $\{(X, \bar{X})/X\}$ is filtered.
- ▶ We only need to show that for a strict proper morphism $(X, \bar{X}) \rightarrow (X, \bar{X}')$ the induced morphism $A_n(X, \bar{X}') \xrightarrow{\sim} A_n^\bullet(X, \bar{X})$.
- ▶ By weak factorisation we may assume that this is a blow-up with smooth centre.
- ▶ We work Zariski-locally, i.e. with affine schemes.
- ▶ Then everything lifts and we can compute explicitly.



Definition

Assume **(SR)**, **(ER)**, **(WF)**. For $X \in \text{Sm}_k$ define

$$A_n^\bullet(X) := \varinjlim_{(X, \bar{X})} A_n^\bullet(X, \bar{X}), \quad A^\bullet(X) := R\varprojlim_n A_n^\bullet(X).$$

With this definition $A_n^\bullet(X) \cong A_n^\bullet(X, \bar{X})$ for all $(X, \bar{X}) \in \text{Var}_k^{nc}$.

Definition

Assume **(SR)**, **(ER)**, **(WF)**. For $X \in \text{Sm}_k$ define

$$A_n^\bullet(X) := \lim_{\substack{\longrightarrow \\ (X, \bar{X})}} A_n^\bullet(X, \bar{X}), \quad A^\bullet(X) := R\lim_{\longleftarrow n} A_n^\bullet(X).$$

With this definition $A_n^\bullet(X) \cong A_n^\bullet(X, \bar{X})$ for all $(X, \bar{X}) \in \text{Var}_k^{nc}$.

Proposition (E–Shiho–Sprang)

Assume **(SR)**, **(ER)**, **(WF)**, then $A_n^\bullet(X)$ and $A^\bullet(X)$ are functorial in X .

Proof.

- ▶ For $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in Sm_k consider the category

$$\{\bar{f}/f\} = \{\bar{f} : (X, \bar{X}) \rightarrow (Y, \bar{Y}) \mid (X, \bar{X}), (Y, \bar{Y}) \in \text{Var}_k^{nc}\}.$$

- ▶ Show this category is non-empty and filtered.
- ▶ There are projections, where p_2 is surjective

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \{\bar{f}/f\} & \\ p_1 \swarrow & & \searrow p_2 \\ \{(X, \bar{X})/X\} & & \{(Y, \bar{Y})/Y\} \end{array}$$

- ▶ Any extension \bar{f} of f induces a natural morphism

$$A_n^\bullet(p_2(\bar{f})) \rightarrow A_n^\bullet(p_1(\bar{f})).$$

- ▶ We obtain a zig-zag

$$\lim_{\rightarrow Y} A_n^\bullet(Y, \bar{Y}) \xleftarrow{\sim} \lim_{\rightarrow \bar{f}} A_n^\bullet(p_2(\bar{f})) \rightarrow \lim_{\rightarrow \bar{f}} A_n^\bullet(p_1(\bar{f})) \rightarrow \lim_{\rightarrow X} A_n^\bullet(X, \bar{X})$$



- ▶ We may regard A_n^\bullet as a complex of presheaves on Sm_k .
- ▶ Sheafify it with respect to the *cdp*- and *rh*-topology.

Definition

Define the following complexes of sheaves on Sm_k

$$a_{cdp}^* A^\bullet := R \varprojlim a_{cdp}^* A_n^\bullet \qquad a_{rh}^* A^\bullet := R \varprojlim a_{rh}^* A_n^\bullet$$

- ▶ We may regard A_n^\bullet as a complex of presheaves on Sm_k .
- ▶ Sheafify it with respect to the cdp - and rh -topology.

Definition

Define the following complexes of sheaves on Sm_k

$$a_{cdp}^* A_n^\bullet := R \varprojlim a_{cdp}^* A_n^\bullet \qquad a_{rh}^* A_n^\bullet := R \varprojlim a_{rh}^* A_n^\bullet$$

Proposition (E–Shiho–Sprang)

Assume **(SR)**, **(ER)**, **(ERB)**, **(WF)**. For every $X \in \text{Sm}_k$ the natural morphisms

$$A_n^\bullet(X) \rightarrow R\Gamma_{cdp}(X, a_{cdp}^* A_n^\bullet) \rightarrow R\Gamma_{rh}(X, a_{rh}^* A_n^\bullet)$$

are quasi-isomorphisms.

Proof.

- ▶ By work of Cortinas–Haesemayer–Schlichting–Weibel it suffices to show that A_n satisfies the Mayer–Wietoris property: For a smooth blow-up square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z' \hookrightarrow & X' & \\ \downarrow & \downarrow & \\ Z \hookrightarrow & X & \end{array}$$

the induced diagram $A_n^\bullet(Z') \longleftarrow A_n^\bullet(X')$ is homotopy co-cartesian.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \uparrow & \uparrow \\ A_n^\bullet(Z) & \longleftarrow & A_n^\bullet(X) \end{array}$$

- ▶ To show this, we take a good compactification of the square and work Zariski locally.
- ▶ Then compute explicitly.
- ▶ Similarly for Zariski square, except that we use the previous result to work *cdp*-locally.



Extension to k -varieties

In this part, we need (SR), (ER), (ERB), (WF).

- ▶ We now want to use the equivalence of topoi $Sh(\text{Sm}_{k,\tau}) \xrightarrow{\sim} Sh(\text{Var}_{k,\tau})$ to extend the construction to Var_k .

Definition

By the above equivalence of topoi

$$a_{cdp}^* A^\bullet := R \varprojlim a_{cdp}^* A_n^\bullet \qquad a_{rh}^* A^\bullet := R \varprojlim a_{rh}^* A_n^\bullet$$

define (complexes of) sheaves on Var_k .

We have a similar descent result as before:

Proposition (E–Shiho–Sprang)

Assume **(SR)**, **(ER)**, **(ERB)**, **(WF)** Then for any $X \in \text{Var}_k$

$$R\Gamma_{cdp}(X, a_{cdp}^* A_n^\bullet) \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Gamma_{rh}(X, a_{rh}^* A_n^\bullet).$$

We have a similar descent result as before:

Proposition (E–Shiho–Sprang)

Assume **(SR)**, **(ER)**, **(ERB)**, **(WF)** Then for any $X \in \text{Var}_k$

$$R\Gamma_{cdp}(X, a_{cdp}^* A_n^\bullet) \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Gamma_{rh}(X, a_{rh}^* A_n^\bullet).$$

Proof.

Choose a *cdp*-hypercovering $X_\bullet \rightarrow X$ with $X_i \in \text{Sm}_k$. Then there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R\Gamma_{cdp}(X, a_{cdp}^* A_n^\bullet) & \longrightarrow & R\Gamma_{rh}(X, a_{rh}^* A_n^\bullet) \\ \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow \sim \\ R\Gamma_{cdp}(X_\bullet, a_{cdp}^* A_n^\bullet) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & R\Gamma_{rh}(X_\bullet, a_{rh}^* A_n^\bullet) \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are quasi-isomorphisms because of *cdh*-descent, and the lower horizontal map is a quasi-isomorphism because all maps

$$R\Gamma_{cdp}(X_i, a_{cdp}^* A_n^\bullet) \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Gamma_{rh}(X_i, a_{rh}^* A_n^\bullet).$$

□

Some properties of $H_{rh}^*(X, a_{rh}^*A^\bullet)$ and $H_{cdp}^*(X, a_{cdp}^*A^\bullet)$:

- ▶ For $(X, \overline{X}) \in \text{Var}_k^{nc}$:
$$H_{cris}^*((X, \overline{X})/W(k)) \cong H_{cdp}^*(X, a_{cdp}^*A^\bullet) \cong H_{rh}^*(X, a_{rh}^*A^\bullet).$$
- ▶ The cohomology groups $H_{rh}^n(X, a_{rh}^*A^\bullet)$ are finitely generated.
- ▶ $H_{rh}^n(X, a_{rh}^*A^\bullet) = 0$ for $n < 0$, $n > 2 \dim(X)$.
- ▶ There is a canonical quasi-isomorphism
$$H_{rig}^*(X/K) \cong H_{rh}^*(X, a_{rh}^*A^\bullet) \otimes \mathbb{Q}.$$
- ▶ Satisfies the Künneth formula.
- ▶ And hence homotopy invariance.
- ▶ Has Chern classes compatible with crystalline and rigid Chern classes.

Alterations instead of resolutions

- ▶ Use de Jong's alteration theorem.
- ▶ **But:** this means that we allow finite extensions, which is a problem in positive characteristic!
- ▶ The topology generated by alterations is the proper topology.

Alterations instead of resolutions

- ▶ Use de Jong's alteration theorem.
- ▶ **But:** this means that we allow finite extensions, which is a problem in positive characteristic!
- ▶ The topology generated by alterations is the proper topology.

Let $X \in \text{Var}_k$.

- ▶ By Nagata, we obtain $(X, \bar{X}) \in \text{Var}_k^{\text{geo}}$.
- ▶ By Nakkajima, we obtain a split proper hypercovering $(X_\bullet, \bar{X}_\bullet) \rightarrow (X, \bar{X})$ by nc-pairs.
- ▶ It is known that $H_{\text{rig}}^*(X/K) \cong H_{\text{cris}}^*((X_\bullet, \bar{X}_\bullet)/W(k)) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.

Question

Is $H_{\text{cris}}^((X_\bullet, \bar{X}_\bullet)/W(k))$ independent of the choice of hypercovering?*

No – not in general:

- ▶ Let X/\mathbb{F}_p be an elliptic curve (then $\bar{X} = X$), $F : X \rightarrow X$ the absolute Frobenius.
- ▶ $X'_\bullet \rightarrow X$ the associated Čech hypercover, $X_\bullet := (X'_\bullet)_{red}$.
- ▶ Each X_i equals X , $\pi : X_\bullet \rightarrow X$ is a split proper hypercovering.
- ▶ Induced maps
$$F^* : H_{cris}^1(X/W(k)) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} H_{cris}^1(X_\bullet/W(k)) \xrightarrow{H_{cris}^1} H_{cris}^1(X/W(k))$$
where the second map is the edge map of the spectral sequence $E_1^{ij} = H_{cris}^i(X_j/W(k)) \Rightarrow H_{cris}^1(X_\bullet/W(k))$.
- ▶ Since $H_{cris}^1(X/W(k))$ has non-trivial slope part F^* is not an isomorphism.
- ▶ π^* also not an isomorphism.

No – not in general:

- ▶ Let X/\mathbb{F}_p be an elliptic curve (then $\bar{X} = X$), $F : X \rightarrow X$ the absolute Frobenius.
- ▶ $X'_\bullet \rightarrow X$ the associated Čech hypercover, $X_\bullet := (X'_\bullet)_{red}$.
- ▶ Each X_i equals X , $\pi : X_\bullet \rightarrow X$ is a split proper hypercovering.
- ▶ Induced maps
$$F^* : H_{cris}^1(X/W(k)) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} H_{cris}^1(X_\bullet/W(k)) \xrightarrow{H_{cris}^1} H_{cris}^1(X/W(k))$$
where the second map is the edge map of the spectral sequence $E_1^{ij} = H_{cris}^i(X_j/W(k)) \Rightarrow H_{cris}^1(X_\bullet/W(k))$.
- ▶ Since $H_{cris}^1(X/W(k))$ has non-trivial slope part F^* is not an isomorphism.
- ▶ π^* also not an isomorphism.

We have to restrict to **generically étale** hypercoverings (not a problem).

Low cohomological degrees

Here we do have positive results!

- ▶ $H_{cris}^0((X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)/W(k))$: It is well-known that this is independent of the choice of hypercovering.
- ▶ $H_{cris}^0((X_\bullet, \overline{X}_\bullet)/W(k))$ the independence was shown
 - ▶ by Andreatta–Barbieri-Viale for $p \geq 3$.
 - ▶ by E–Shiho–Sprang for $p \geq 2$.
- ▶ This is **not** true for higher cohomological degrees.

Counterexamples for higher cohomological degrees

- ▶ Let $\bar{X} = \mathbb{P}_k^1$, x the coordinate of $\mathbb{A}_k^1 \subset \mathbb{P}_k^1$.
For $r \geq 1$: $a_1, \dots, a_r \in k$ distinct, n_1, \dots, n_r prime to p .

Counterexamples for higher cohomological degrees

- ▶ Let $\bar{X} = \mathbb{P}_k^1$, x the coordinate of $\mathbb{A}_k^1 \subset \mathbb{P}_k^1$.
For $r \geq 1$: $a_1, \dots, a_r \in k$ distinct, n_1, \dots, n_r prime to p .
- ▶ Let $f : \bar{X}_0 \rightarrow \bar{X}$ be the morphism induced by the field extension

$$k(\bar{X}) = k(x) \subseteq k(x)[y]/(y^p - y - \frac{x^{\sum_{i=1}^r n_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^r (x - a_i)^{n_i}}) =: k(\bar{X}_0).$$

Counterexamples for higher cohomological degrees

- ▶ Let $\bar{X} = \mathbb{P}_k^1$, x the coordinate of $\mathbb{A}_k^1 \subset \mathbb{P}_k^1$.
For $r \geq 1$: $a_1, \dots, a_r \in k$ distinct, n_1, \dots, n_r prime to p .
- ▶ Let $f : \bar{X}_0 \rightarrow \bar{X}$ be the morphism induced by the field extension
$$k(\bar{X}) = k(x) \subseteq k(x)[y]/(y^p - y - \frac{x^{\sum_{i=1}^r n_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^r (x - a_i)^{n_i}}) =: k(\bar{X}_0).$$
- ▶ Finite flat morphism of degree p between proper smooth curves such that $k(\bar{X}_0)/k(\bar{X})$ is a Galois extension with Galois group $G = \langle g \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$.

Counterexamples for higher cohomological degrees

- ▶ Let $\bar{X} = \mathbb{P}_k^1$, x the coordinate of $\mathbb{A}_k^1 \subset \mathbb{P}_k^1$.
For $r \geq 1$: $a_1, \dots, a_r \in k$ distinct, n_1, \dots, n_r prime to p .
- ▶ Let $f : \bar{X}_0 \rightarrow \bar{X}$ be the morphism induced by the field extension
$$k(\bar{X}) = k(x) \subseteq k(x)[y]/(y^p - y - \frac{x^{\sum_{i=1}^r n_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^r (x - a_i)^{n_i}}) =: k(\bar{X}_0).$$
- ▶ Finite flat morphism of degree p between proper smooth curves such that $k(\bar{X}_0)/k(\bar{X})$ is a Galois extension with Galois group $G = \langle g \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$.
- ▶ Let $P_i = \{x = a_i\}$ a closed point of \bar{X} . Ramification locus of f is $D = \cup P_i$.

Counterexamples for higher cohomological degrees

- ▶ Let $\bar{X} = \mathbb{P}_k^1$, x the coordinate of $\mathbb{A}_k^1 \subset \mathbb{P}_k^1$.
For $r \geq 1$: $a_1, \dots, a_r \in k$ distinct, n_1, \dots, n_r prime to p .
- ▶ Let $f : \bar{X}_0 \rightarrow \bar{X}$ be the morphism induced by the field extension
$$k(\bar{X}) = k(x) \subseteq k(x)[y]/(y^p - y - \frac{x^{\sum_{i=1}^r n_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^r (x - a_i)^{n_i}}) =: k(\bar{X}_0).$$
- ▶ Finite flat morphism of degree p between proper smooth curves such that $k(\bar{X}_0)/k(\bar{X})$ is a Galois extension with Galois group $G = \langle g \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$.
- ▶ Let $P_i = \{x = a_i\}$ a closed point of \bar{X} . Ramification locus of f is $D = \bigcup P_i$.
- ▶ Let $\bar{X}_i = (\bar{X}_0 \times_{\bar{X}} \dots \times_{\bar{X}} \bar{X}_0)^{norm} = \coprod^{G^i} \bar{X}_0$.
Simplicial scheme $\bar{X}_\bullet \rightarrow \bar{X}$.

Counterexamples for higher cohomological degrees

- ▶ Let $\bar{X} = \mathbb{P}_k^1$, x the coordinate of $\mathbb{A}_k^1 \subset \mathbb{P}_k^1$.
For $r \geq 1$: $a_1, \dots, a_r \in k$ distinct, n_1, \dots, n_r prime to p .
- ▶ Let $f : \bar{X}_0 \rightarrow \bar{X}$ be the morphism induced by the field extension
$$k(\bar{X}) = k(x) \subseteq k(x)[y]/(y^p - y - \frac{x^{\sum_{i=1}^r n_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^r (x - a_i)^{n_i}}) =: k(\bar{X}_0).$$
- ▶ Finite flat morphism of degree p between proper smooth curves such that $k(\bar{X}_0)/k(\bar{X})$ is a Galois extension with Galois group $G = \langle g \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$.
- ▶ Let $P_i = \{x = a_i\}$ a closed point of \bar{X} . Ramification locus of f is $D = \bigcup P_i$.
- ▶ Let $\bar{X}_i = (\bar{X}_0 \times_{\bar{X}} \dots \times_{\bar{X}} \bar{X}_0)^{norm} = \coprod^{G^i} \bar{X}_0$.
Simplicial scheme $\bar{X}_\bullet \rightarrow \bar{X}$.
- ▶ Let $X := \bar{X} \setminus D$ and $X_\bullet = X \times_{\bar{X}} \bar{X}_\bullet$.
Split proper generically étale hypercovering $(X_\bullet, \bar{X}_\bullet) \rightarrow (X, \bar{X})$.

Counterexamples for higher cohomological degrees

- ▶ Let $\bar{X} = \mathbb{P}_k^1$, x the coordinate of $\mathbb{A}_k^1 \subset \mathbb{P}_k^1$.
For $r \geq 1$: $a_1, \dots, a_r \in k$ distinct, n_1, \dots, n_r prime to p .
- ▶ Let $f : \bar{X}_0 \rightarrow \bar{X}$ be the morphism induced by the field extension
$$k(\bar{X}) = k(x) \subseteq k(x)[y]/(y^p - y - \frac{x^{\sum_{i=1}^r n_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^r (x - a_i)^{n_i}}) =: k(\bar{X}_0).$$
- ▶ Finite flat morphism of degree p between proper smooth curves such that $k(\bar{X}_0)/k(\bar{X})$ is a Galois extension with Galois group $G = \langle g \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$.
- ▶ Let $P_i = \{x = a_i\}$ a closed point of \bar{X} . Ramification locus of f is $D = \bigcup P_i$.
- ▶ Let $\bar{X}_i = (\bar{X}_0 \times_{\bar{X}} \dots \times_{\bar{X}} \bar{X}_0)^{norm} = \coprod^{G^i} \bar{X}_0$.
Simplicial scheme $\bar{X}_\bullet \rightarrow \bar{X}$.
- ▶ Let $X := \bar{X} \setminus D$ and $X_\bullet = X \times_{\bar{X}} \bar{X}_\bullet$.
Split proper generically étale hypercovering $(X_\bullet, \bar{X}_\bullet) \rightarrow (X, \bar{X})$.
- ▶ Then $H_{cris}^2((X, \bar{X})/W(k)) \rightarrow H_{cris}^2((X_\bullet, \bar{X}_\bullet)/W(k))$ is not an isomorphism.

Thank you very much for your attention!